

Guide to Reviewers_BJP

General Instructions

1. Reviewers should go through the whole manuscript with special emphasis on methods, analyses, figures, tables, findings, arguments, and the overall clarity of the presentation with standard and errorless linguistic expressions.
2. Considering the Review Reports provided, reviewers should critically analyze all specific sections and the key concepts of the manuscript so that the authors may correctly understand and address the points recommended for revisions.
3. In case of suggestions for improvement of the manuscript, reviewers can recommend citations of work that are highly relevant with the reviewed manuscript.
4. They will maintain a neutral tone and focus on providing constructive criticisms using most relevant comments that might help authors improve their work. Derogatory comments are highly discouraged from the reviewers.
5. Reviewers must not use any AI assisted tools (e.g., ChatGPT) to review submissions and/or to prepare peer review reports. They are solely responsible for the content of their reports and are bound to maintain the double-blind peer review ethics and confidentiality during the review process.

Review Reports

Please provide your valuable opinions as per the issues/points mentioned below:

1. Do you consider the **Title** of the manuscript **original**, well-defined, and truly representative of the contents of the study?

Please give reasons in support of your opinion (whether yes/no):

.....

2. What is the **main question** addressed by the research? Please write on the right. Do you consider the research question relevant and interesting? Please indicate Yes or No.

.....

3. Do you find the **data analysis techniques** used consistent with the main study question? Please indicate Yes or No

4. Are the **conclusions** consistent with the evidence and arguments presented? – Please indicate Yes or No. Do they address the main study question? Please indicate Yes or No.

5. If the paper includes **tables or figures**, do they aid understanding or are they superfluous? Please write your comments

.....

6. Do the citations in the text and the reference list follow the APA Style? Please indicate Yes or No

7. Did the contributor(s) maintain the **ethical standards**? Please write your comments

.....

8. **Decision** regarding acceptance or rejection of the Manuscript. Please indicate your answer by putting g a tick mark on any one below:

- a. The paper may be accepted without any change;
- b. The paper may be accepted after the changes and corrections suggested;
- c. The paper cannot be accepted.

In case your response is 8(b) or 8(c), please put your valuable arguments below. Further, if your answer is 8(b), please also state how the quality of the paper might be improved.